SINGLE BENCH

CF1002 (4)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR**

**CHHATTISGARH**

**W.P.(S) NO. 480 /2007 (S.B.)**

**PETITIONER** : Smt. Shiv Kumari, aged about 28 years, w/o Resham Lal Suryavanshi, R/o. Village Sarvani, Tah. Champa, Distt. Janjgir-Champa(C.G.)

P.R. No. Sudha
Presented by Shri R.P. Tiwari
dated 16/11/07

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS** : 1. State of Chhattisgarh Through : Secretary, Social Welfare Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
16/11/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchyat, Bamhanindih, Champa, Distt. Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

3. Project Officer, Woman and Child Development, Bamhanindih, Champa, Distt. Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

4. Smt. Prema Bai Mahant, aged about 35 years, W/o. Udho Ram Mahant, R/o. Sarvani, Tah. Champa, Distt. Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

(2) (5)

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (s) No. 480 of 2007

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Petitioner | | Smt. Shiv Kumari |
| | Versus | |
| Respondents | | State of Chhattisgarh & others |

**SB**: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

---

Shri R. R. Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the respondents No. 1 to 3.

---

ORDER

(Passed on this 20th day of February, 2007)

1. By this petition, the petitioner challenges the validity of the appointment order dated 11.12.2006 (Annexure P/1) of the respondent No. 4 on the post of Aanganwadi Worker at village Parsapali (Sarwani) District – Janjgir Champa on the ground that the petitioner is working since last 7 years on the post of Anganbadi Assistant, she belongs to Scheduled Caste and belongs to the family living below the poverty line, therefore she should have been given preferential rights in appointment on the post of Anganwadi Worker, over the respondent No.4.

2. In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on facts as well as on merit, the Collector, Durg is directed to look into the allegation made by the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with Aanganwadi Scheme and law.

3. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

**Sd/-**
**Satish K. Agnihotri**
**Judge**

Thakur